

A Simple Two Period OLG Model

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ821

January 25, 2016

Contents

Introduction	3
Environment	4
Calibrating the Model	10
Fiscal Policy	14
Take-away Points	17

Introduction

We now compute the 2 period OLG model in general equilibrium.
We pretend that we want to use the model to study fiscal policy.

Environment

Demographics: There are $N_t = (1 + n)^t$ young born at t .

Technology: $F(K, L) = AK^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} = K' - (1 - \delta)K + C$

Markets:

- Labor: w^G (before tax)
- Capital rental: r^G (before tax)
- Goods: numeraire

Firms

First-order conditions (standard):

- $w_t^G = (1 - \alpha) A (K/L)^\alpha$
- $r_t^G = \alpha A (K/L)^{\alpha-1}$.

Government

Taxes income when young at rate τ_w and capital income at rate τ_r .

Depreciation is not tax deductible.

After tax prices are

- $r = (1 - \tau_r) r^G - \delta$.
- $w^y = (1 - \tau_w) w^G$.

Pays transfers at fixed level, w^o .

Expenditures balance the budget.

$$G_t + N_{t-1}w_t^o = \tau_w N_t w_t^G + \tau_r r^G N_{t-1} s_{t-1}.$$

Equilibrium definition

Sequences $\{G_t, K_t, L_t, s_t, c_t^y, c_t^o, r_t, w_t^y, r_t^G, w_t^G\}$ that satisfy

Household: Euler equation and 2 budget constraints.

Firms: First-order conditions.

Government: Budget constraint.

Market clearing:

- Goods $F(K_t, L_t) + (1 - \delta) K_t = G_t + N_{t-1} c_t^o + N_t c_t^y + K_{t+1}$
- Capital $K_t = N_{t-1} s_{t-1}$,
- Labor $L_t = N_t$.

Identities: Price relationships.

Steady State Conditions

Constants $\{g, k, c^y, c^o, r, w^y\}$ that solve

$$r = (1 - \tau_r) f'(k) - \delta \quad (1)$$

$$w^y = (1 - \tau_w) [f(k) - f'(k) k] \quad (2)$$

$$u'(c^y) = \beta (1 + r) u'(c^o) \quad (3)$$

$$c^o = w^o + (1 + r) (w^y - c^y) \quad (4)$$

$$k(1 + n) = w^y - c^y \quad (5)$$

$$g + w^o/(1 + n) = \tau_w [f(k) - f'(k) k] + \tau_r f'(k) k \quad (6)$$

Computing the Steady State

Guess k .

Compute r, w^y, c^y, c^o from (1) through (4).

Iterate until deviation from (5) is close to zero.

Program: `bg_comp_olg2d.m`.

Calibrating the Model

Calibration means:

- Choose n model parameters to exactly match n observations.

There are more general notions of calibration.

Fixed Parameters

- $n = 0.01$ per year.
- $\alpha = 0.36$ (Cooley and Prescott 1995).

Calibrated parameters

β matches $K/Y = 2.9$ per year.

Set A to match $w^y = 1$: $A = \left(\frac{w^y}{[1-\alpha][1-\tau_w]} \right)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{Y}{K} \right)^\alpha$

δ matches $r = 0.05$ per year.

Calibration Programs

A simple approach:

- Guess parameter values.
- For each: solve the steady state conditions.
- Iterate until deviations from calibration targets are small.
- `cal_simple_olg2d`

This is inefficient because the values of certain endogenous quantities are known during the calibration computations.

In this model: K/Y implies k implies factor prices.

A better approach: Write separate programs for calibration and for computation of equilibrium.

Calibration Algorithm

Fix k at the value implied by the target level for K/Y : $k = (AK/Y)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$

Compute r, w^y from marginal products; (1) and (2).

Guess β .

Solve household problem for c^y .

Iterate until deviation from capital market clearing (5) is small.

When done: recover δ and A values.


Program: cal_comp_olg2d.m.

Deviations from Calibration Targets

cal_comp_olg2d searches for β that makes the two lines cross.

The horizontal line is $k(1+n)$.

The upward sloping line is $(w^y - c^y)$.



Calibration Results

Deviation from calibration targets: -0.000000

beta = 0.799. Annual beta = 0.993

cY/wY = 0.796. cY/W = 0.699

k = 0.151

.

To verify the code, compute the steady state and check that k has the calibrated value.

Fiscal Policy

How large are the effects of taxes on output, capital, etc.?


A large, quantitative literature investigates questions of this type.

.

An experiment for purposes of illustration:

- Vary capital incomes tax rate between 10% and 90%.
- Government spending balances the budget.
- tax_exper_olg2d.m


Capital Tax Experiment



Surprise: Capital taxes increase savings and output (up to a point).

Why?

The Same Experiment With Log Utility



Take-away Points

1. Even this little model requires quite a bit of code
Structure and organization matter
2. Don't hard code functional forms / budget constraints
For speed: have 2 versions
3. Plan ahead for model versions (calNo) and counterfactual experiments (expNo)