

Inflation and Unemployment: Applications

Prof. Lutz Hendricks

Econ520

August 29, 2024

1. NAIRU and Policy

NAIRU


The modified Phillips Curve implies:

- ▶ Only one unemployment rate is consistent with constant inflation
- ▶ The **level** of inflation does not matter

NAIRU: “Non-accelerating inflation rate of unemployment”

- ▶ the point where the modified PC crosses 0

NAIRU



NAIRU

Definition from FRED:

NAIRU is the rate of unemployment arising from all sources except fluctuations in aggregate demand.

The causes of unemployment are separated into two groups:

1. **NAIRU - the MR equilibrium** in the model

- ▶ hard to change through monetary policy

Includes

- ▶ frictional unemployment: workers in between jobs
- ▶ structural: unemployable workers who would like to work
- ▶ voluntary: “pretending” to look for work

2. **Demand driven**

- ▶ deviations from MR equilibrium

How is NAIRU used?


Also from FRED:

[NAIRU] is used to gauge the amount of current and projected slack in labor markets, which is a key input into CBO's projections of inflation.

Where the economy is relative to NAIRU affects whether stimulating AD creates inflation

- ▶ $Y < Y_n$: inflation will likely fall over time
 - ▶ stimulating AD not likely to cause inflation
- ▶ $Y > Y_n$: inflation will likely rise over time
 - ▶ need to reduce AD to avoid inflation

NAIRU Fluctuations



Source: FRED

2. The Importance of Expectations

The Phillips Curve in Reality

When is inflation a serious problem?


The answer depends on **inflation expectations**.

If people believe we are entering a more inflationary era ... they could alter their behavior in self-fulfilling ways. Businesses would be quicker to raise prices and workers to demand raises. ...

That situation would leave ... the Federal Reserve faced with two bad choices: Allow inflation to take off in an upward spiral, or stop it by raising interest rates and quite possibly causing a recession. – NY Times March 24, 2021

Inflation becomes a problem when inflation expectations start to rise.

The Importance of Expectations



Overheating

When people talk about an “**overheating**” economy; that’s what they mean.

- ▶ Inflation is high for long enough that inflation expectations rise.
- ▶ Then inflation becomes self-sustaining and bringing it down is costly.

In our model:

$$\pi - \pi^e = m + z - \alpha u \quad (1)$$

If inflation expectations rise, the Fed has two options:

1. **Accommodate:** Let π rise to validate the expectations
Then unemployment need not rise.
2. **Hold the line:** Keep π at target (below π^e)
Hope that π^e comes down over time.
This usually requires a period of **recession** (high u).

Did the Fed Cause Recessions?

The Effective Federal Funds Rate, 1965–2021

<https://conversableeconomist.com/2023/02/08/hard-and-soft-landings-the-federal-reserves-record/>




The early record is not great

- ▶ when the Fed tightened to lower inflation, recessions usually followed

The later record is much better

Anchored Inflation Expectations

U.S. INFLATION: FORECASTS vs. ACTUAL 1976-2023, QUARTERLY



Source: Survey of Professional Forecasters and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics


EconoFact: econofact.org

Soft landings are easier with anchored inflation expectations.

A Soft Landing

INFLATION AND ITS FORECASTED VALUES

1991–2021, QUARTERLY



Note: The shaded area represents the 2% to 2.5% range.

Source: Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Survey of Professional Forecasters

EconoFact econofact.org

Why did the disinflation after the Pandemic not create a recession?
Inflation expectations stayed firmly anchored.

Credible Disinflation

The flip-side of the expectations story:

If the Fed can bring inflation expectations down, it can generate a soft landing.

Historical examples: WW2, Argentina.

3. Pandemic Inflation

Does a tight labor market cause inflation?

Why did inflation rise during / after the 2020 Pandemic?

One argument: **wage price spiral**

U.S. labor costs increased strongly in the second quarter as a tight jobs market boosted wage growth, which could keep inflation elevated ... – Reuters July 29, 2022

Is that how it works?

- ▶ “In the 12 months through June, the PCE price index advanced 6.8%”
- ▶ “Wages and salaries ... were up 5.3% on a year-on-year basis” (Reuters)

So real wages are actually **falling**.

How to think about this?

Does a tight labor market cause inflation?

It's the wrong question.

The tight labor market is an endogenous outcome, not a shock.

It is caused either by a reduction in labor supply or by an increase in demand for goods.

During the pandemic, both happened.

- ▶ labor force participation dropped
- ▶ demand was pushed up by government transfers

But then why did real wages **fall**?

The Pandemic Shock


Three shocks

1. Labor supply declines $\rightarrow z \uparrow \rightarrow$ AS shifts left.
2. Stimulus checks \rightarrow AD shifts right.
3. Inputs costs rise $\rightarrow m \uparrow \rightarrow$ AS shifts left **and** real wage falls.

Note: In our model, by assumption, shocks 1 and 2 do not change real wages.

- ▶ In reality: lower labor supply \Rightarrow move up labor demand curve \Rightarrow real wages rise.
- ▶ But in the short run, with sticky prices / wages: more complicated.

The Pandemic Shock



4. The Optimal Rate of Inflation

What is the Optimal Inflation Rate?

We don't have a good answer.

The Fed targets 2% per year.

- ▶ Why not 0% or 10%?
- ▶ What does our theory imply?

What is the Optimal Inflation Rate?

Why not zero inflation?

- ▶ nominal wages may be downward rigid
- ▶ more room to cut interest rates in recessions
- ▶ can achieve negative real rates
- ▶ avoid deflation

What is the Optimal Inflation Rate?

Why not higher inflation?

- ▶ taxes on nominal capital income
- ▶ distorts sticky vs flexible prices
- ▶ redistribution (debtors vs savers; job stayers vs movers)

These are all valid reasons, but the main one is:

High inflation is hard to control and predict

What is the Optimal Inflation Rate?

Conclusion by John Cochrane:

... clear just how thin the scientific understanding behind the 2% mantra is, just how much our central banks pulled 2% out of a hat and then repeated it over and over again until it seemed carved in to stone.

Making inflation predictable is probably more important than its exact value.

Useful reading: St Louis Fed 2006, St Louis Fed 2019

Phillips Curve Summary

$$\pi = \pi^e + m + z - \alpha u \quad (2)$$

The main message:

- ▶ Monetary policy doesn't do much (is neutral) when anticipated ($\pi = \pi^e$)
- ▶ The Fed only has power when it can **surprise** people

Agents figure out any systematic attempt at exploiting the inflation-unemployment trade-off.

- ▶ There is **no long-run trade-off**.

Implications for Policy

The Fed's best option is to firmly **anchor inflation expectations**.

Benefits:

1. When the Fed needs to stimulate demand, it doesn't have to worry about inflation too much.
2. When shocks temporarily drive up inflation, the Fed can bring it back down without recession.

Inflation is easy to bring down when expectations are firmly anchored.

Reading

Text: Macroeconomics

- ▶ 6th through 8th ed., ch 8

On NAIRU: Ball and Mankiw (2002)

References I

Ball, L. and N. G. Mankiw (2002): “The NAIRU in Theory and Practice,” *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 16, 115–136.