

# Final Exam. Econ720. Fall 2013

Professor Lutz Hendricks

- Answer all questions.
  - Write legibly! Write legibly! Write legibly!
  - Write on only one side of each sheet.
  - Clearly number your answers.
  - The total time is 2 hours.
  - A good answer should explain what you are doing. For example: "To find the consumption function, I take first order conditions, then use the budget constraint to solve for  $c$ ." Then comes the math...
- 

## 1 Shimer's MP Model

[50 points] Workers: A unit mass of workers lives forever. Lifetime utility is given by  $\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} w_t$ , where  $w_t$  denotes the wage. When unemployed,  $w_t = z$ .

Firms: An infinite mass of firms can post vacancies at a flow cost of  $c$ .

Matching: The number of new matches formed is  $m(u, v)$ , where  $v$  is the number of vacancies and  $u$  is the number of unemployed workers.  $m$  has *constant* returns to scale.

When a worker meets a vacancy, they produce output  $p(t)$ . Wages are determined by Nash bargaining. The worker receives fraction  $\beta$  of the joint surplus.

Breakups: Matches break up with Poisson probability  $s(t)$ .

Shocks: The state of the economy is a pair  $(p, s)$ . Shocks arrive with Poisson probability  $\lambda$ . When a shock arrives, a new pair  $(p, s)$  is drawn from a distribution that depends on the current  $(p, s)$  state. Between shocks,  $(p, s)$  is constant.

Equilibrium: We are looking for an equilibrium where the state variables are  $(p, s)$ . It is not obvious that these are the right state variables, but we won't worry about this problem. Let's assume that the wage only depends on  $(p, s)$  as well.

## Questions:

1. [3 points] Show that the job finding rate  $f$  and the vacancy filling rate  $q$  depends only on “labor market tightness”  $\theta = v/u$ .
2. [3 points] Find a differential equation of the form  $\dot{u} = \text{inflows} - \text{outflows}$ .
3. [9 points] The value of being unemployed is given by:  $rU_{p,s} = z + f(E_{p,s} - U_{p,s}) + \lambda(\mathbb{E}_{p,s}U_{p',s'} - U_{p,s})$ , where  $E_{p,s}$  is the value of being employed.  $\mathbb{E}_{p,s}U_{p',s'}$  is the expected value of  $U$ , if today’s state is  $(p, s)$  and an aggregate shock occurs. Explain this equation.
4. [12 points] State and explain analogous equations for the value of being employed  $E_{p,s}$  and the value of a filled vacancy  $J_{p,s}$ . Note that an aggregate shock changes the value of being employed, even if the worker stays matched.
5. [8 points] Let  $V_{p,s}$  denote the joint surplus of a match that is divided between workers and firms:  $V_{p,s} = E_{p,s} - U_{p,s} + J_{p,s}$ . After substituting out a few terms, the equation becomes

$$rV_{p,s} = p - z - f(E_{p,s} - U_{p,s}) - sV_{p,s} + \lambda(\mathbb{E}_{p,s}V_{p',s'} - V_{p,s}) \quad (1)$$

Explain these equations in words.

6. [4 points] State and explain the free entry condition.
7. [3 points] What does Nash bargaining with weight  $\beta$  imply for the relationship between  $V_{p,s}$ ,  $J_{p,s}$ , and  $E_{p,s} - U_{p,s}$ ?
8. [8 points] Define an equilibrium.

Final notes: Having done all this work, it is easy to derive a closed form solution for  $\theta_{p,s}$ . Substitute the Nash bargaining weights into the equation for  $V_{p,s}$  to obtain

$$rV_{p,s} = p - z - f(\theta)\beta V_{p,s} - sV_{p,s} + \lambda(\mathbb{E}_{p,s}V_{p',s'} - V_{p,s}) \quad (2)$$

Combine this with free entry to obtain

$$\frac{r + s + \lambda}{q(\theta)} + \beta\theta = (1 - \beta)\frac{p - z}{c} + \lambda\mathbb{E}_{p,s}\frac{1}{q(\theta)} \quad (3)$$

Now one ask question such as: does this model imply reasonable fluctuations in vacancies, unemployment, or labor market tightness with respect to productivity? The whole point of Shimer’s paper is: it does not.

## 2 Lucas Fruit Trees With Crashes

[50 points] Demographics: There is a single, representative household who lives forever.

Preferences:  $U = \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$  where  $u(c) = c^{1-\sigma} / (1-\sigma)$ .

Endowments: The agent is endowed at  $t = 0$  with 1 tree. In each period, the tree yields stochastic consumption  $d_t$ , which cannot be stored.  $d_t$  evolves as follows:

- If  $d_t = d_{t-1}$ , then  $d_{t+1} = d_t$  forever after.
- If  $d_t \neq d_{t-1}$ , then  $d_{t+1} = \gamma d_t$  with probability  $\pi$  and  $d_{t+1} = d_t$  with probability  $1 - \pi$ .  $\gamma > 1$ .

In words:  $d$  grows at rate  $\gamma - 1$  until some random event occurs (with probability  $1 - \pi$ ), at which point growth stops forever.

Markets: There are competitive markets for consumption (numeraire) and trees (price  $p_t$ ). Assume that  $p_t$  is *cum dividend*, meaning that  $d_t$  accrues to the household who buys the tree in  $t$  and holds it into  $t + 1$ .

### Questions:

1. [8 points] State the household's dynamic program.
2. [10 points] Derive the Euler equation.
3. [10 points] Define a recursive competitive equilibrium. Key: what is the state vector?
4. [14 points] Characterize the stochastic process of  $p_t$ . Is  $p_t$  a Markov process? Hint: there are 2 phases: before and after dividends have stopped growing. Assume that  $p/d$  is constant during the phase with growth.
5. [8 points] What happens to the stock market when the economy stops growing? Does it crash? Under what condition?

---

End of exam.